

# Muḥammad Hijāb, the Falāsifah, Mutafalsifah and Jahmiyyah: Laying the Foundations for the Dīn of the Philosophers and Jahmites Part 5: And Here Come the Jahmites!



The information in this article is simply to put matters on to the record so that all people can observe the affairs that are unfolding and so that the realities can become even clearer.

We explained in previous parts that Muḥammad Hijāb is laying down a path for the rejection of Allāh’s ‘uluww and His Sīfāt. And this is because he followed the way of Mutafalsifah and negators of the Mutakallimīn in augmenting their abstract philosophical proofs that only prove a wujūd muṭlaq (abstract, non-specific) for the entity they are trying to prove, in this case, that which is wājib al-wujūd (obligatory in existence) and which does not equate to Allāh, creator of the heavens and earth. As a result, they have to add to this in order to prove that this entity is one, and in doing so, they propound a philosophical Tawḥīd through ambiguous language, which is not the Tawḥīd of the Messengers, and is in actual fact, **a trojan horse** for the rejection of the Tawḥīd of the Messengers. This requires them to use a certain language in the affirmation of creed<sup>1</sup> such as “He is

---

<sup>1</sup> There is a difference between the language in affirming one’s creed and the language used when clarifying and refuting, wherein ambiguous, dubious terms

not a body, not in a location, does not have parts, is not composite and so on” in order to prove His oneness.

Muḥammad Hijāb posted some responses to those articles and failed to grasp what was said, just like he failed to grasp where Ibn Taymiyyah is coming from. We have given him the benefit of the doubt and assumed that this is due to ignorance and lack of comprehension for now.

So while we await confirmation of that or otherwise, we should point out that it is the Jahmites who deny Allāh’s ‘uluww that have come out to attack us and support Hijāb’s language.

For example, citing Hijāb’s tweet, this user comments:



This user is a Jahmite, a negator of Allāh’s ‘uluww. From the statements posted by this user are statements of those who erred from the Mutakallimīn in negating Allāh’s ‘uluww above His creation. He cites from those Ḥanafīs who departed from the way of Abū Ḥanīfah (رحمة الله) and entered into kalām theology, adopting the language of philosophy in the acquisition of creed. Then, they began to ascribe this language to Abū Ḥanīfah, whereas he is free from it.

So from what has been posted by this user:

---

are employed in order to separate out true meanings from false meanings, and to refer to true meanings through the correct, legislated language. Muḥammad Hijāb is not operating in this sphere, but rather, he is operating in the sphere of affirming and corroborating creed.

“A whole group of them [the early Muslims], as well as later scholars, said that whoever believes Aļļāh — Glorified and Exalted be He — to be in a particular physical direction is an unbeliever, as al-‘Irāqī has explicitly stated, saying that this was the position of Abū Ḥanīfah, Mālik, al-Shāfi‘ī, al-Ash‘arī, and [Ibn] al-Bāqillānī.”

“He — Glorified and Exalted be He — is ‘something’ yet not like things, and the meaning of something is that we affirm His existence without body, substance, accident, limit, or counterpart.”

Likewise, this same user who appears to support Hijāb accuses me of being a mujassim:

Replying to @jimohabdulah62 @AbuIyaadSP

He's not an ustadh. He's a misguided mujassim it seems... Don't take ur aqeedah from someone like that otherwise likewise say that these Imams were mujassims/mubtadi' ! Audhubillah

nām Abū Ḥanīfah — may Aļļāh have mercy upon him — said: “The one who says Aļļāh is a body not like other bodies blasphemes!”

He — Glorified and Exalted be He — is ‘something’ yet not like things, and the meaning of something is that we affirm His existence without body, substance, accident, limit, or counterpart.”

📖 — [Narrated by Abū Muḥammad al-Baghdādī in his book ‘al-Khiṣā’l’ and Badr al-Dīn al-Zarakshī in his book ‘Tashnīf al-masāmi’]

Similarly, Ḥāfiẓ al-Bayhaqī quoted Imām Aḥmad as saying:

📖 — [In ‘Alī al-Qārī’s Sharḥ al-Fiqh al-Akbar, (p. 36)] “A person commits an act of disbelief [kufr] if he says Aļļāh is a body, even if he says: Aļļāh is a body

12:14 AM - 20 Jun 2019

This user has cited statements of kalām and falsafah which were wrongly ascribed to the likes of Abū Ḥanīfah and Aḥmad bin Ḥanbal (رَحْمَةُ اللهِ عَلَيْهِمَا) by the latecomers. So the question must be asked: Why are the likes of these Jahmites coming out in order to aid the language of Muḥammad Hijāb in Tawḥīd and in order to attack those who affirm

the Tawḥīd of Allāh through the language of the Prophets and Messengers and accuse them of being Mujassimah? It is because Muḥammad Hijāb is laying down the foundations that lead a person down a path to arrive at the beliefs held by the likes of these very Jahmites who waged a war against the Righteous Salaf in the second and third centuries hijrah.

The same user states:

No that statement wasn't innovated. Unless ofc you want to label Imam Abu Hanifah and Imam Ibn Hanbal as muḥtadī'. What idiots do u take your religion from?!?

[I'll provide their statements below]

This is a reference to the statement that “Allāh is not a jism” which was innovated into this religion by al-Ja‘d and al-Jahm, having taken it from the Hellenized Jews, Christians and Sabeans, on the basis of which they denied Allāh’s ‘uluww and His ṣifāt.

And all of this—for the person who understands and appreciates the Salafī methodology—is sufficient evidence for the error of Muḥammad Hijāb and for the validity of my concerns in my previous articles. This leads us to the natural and justified question as to what Muḥammad Hijāb is actually upon in his creed? Is he just another Muḥammad ‘Abduh (d. 1323H) who was upon a hybridised creed of the Mutafalsifah, Mu‘tazilah and Ash‘ariyyah? Allāh knows best.

Abu ‘Iyaad

@abuiyaadsp ♦ salaf.com

17 Shawwāl 1440 / 20 June 2019 v. 1.0